Advancing Healthcare with Artificial Intelligence: A Literature Review

Bhoomika Chowdhary
Department of Computer Science
B.M. Institute of Engineering and Technology
Haryana 131001, India
chowdharybhoomika@gmail.com

Kanika Budhiraja
Department of Management
B.M. Institute of Engineering and Technology
Haryana 131001, India
kanikarelan@yahoo.com

Gurminder Kaur
Department of Computer Science
B.M. Institute of Engineering and Technology
Haryana 131001, India
dr.gurminderkaur@gmail.com

Paramjeet Ruhal
Department of Computer Science
B.M. Institute of Engineering and Technology
Haryana 131001, India
paramjeetruhal@gmail.com

Abstract—The healthcare sector is rapidly transforming through the integration of artificial intelligence (AI), reshaping both administrative and clinical processes. AI has shown significant progress in early disease detection, diagnostic support, and treatment recommendations, improving patient outcomes. This literature review synthesizes peer-reviewed studies from 2019–2024, highlighting both foundational and emerging AI applications. Evidence shows that AI in diagnostic imaging and predictive analytics enhances clinical decision-making, accuracy, efficiency, and resource allocation. However, research gaps remain in integrating AI into specialized care areas, ensuring interoperability with electronic health records, and establishing standardized regulatory frameworks.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, healthcare, clinical decision support, diagnostic imaging, predictive analytics, interoperability

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) is becoming more common in both business and society, and it is currently being utilized in healthcare. Within healthcare providers, payers, and pharmaceutical companies, these technologies can change different facets of patient care and administrative procedures. Numerous research studies have shown that AI can match or surpass humans in crucial healthcare tasks, including disease diagnosis. The objectives include identifying key application areas, challenges, and future research directions.

AI can help improve clinical decisions in targeted areas. The growing availability of medical information and the swift advancement of big data analysis tools have facilitated ongoing efficient AI applications in healthcare. Practical AI algorithms can derive clinically relevant insights from extensive data when prompted by pertinent clinical questions, supporting clinical decision-making. Evolving demographics, logistical requirements, workforce deficits, rising morbidity rates, and improvements in data technology capabilities and standards are placing unprecedented stress on physicians and healthcare management. Health solutions powered by AI have demonstrated worth and potential in clinical research and medical care. At present, governments and tech companies are jointly investing in applying AI for healthcare advantages. Advancements like cloud computing, the Internet of Things (IoT), and AI are utilized in groundbreaking healthcare services to establish a more effective, supportive, and customized medical services system. Since the Industrial Revolution, the emphasis on technology regarding production and expansion has risen.

In numerous sectors, individuals can now substitute physical work with enhanced mental skills and cognitive capacities due to AI, an essential technological breakthrough. In addition to the help that machines offer for physical tasks, AI represents a significant technological advance that enables individuals to substitute manual work with more intricate cognitive and intellectual activities. Consequently, one of the most attractive features of AI is its ability to perform numerous tasks that humans are capable of, learn from past experiences, and adjust to latest information and environments. AI employs pertinent information sources to enhance performance across various tasks.

In recent years, AI has advanced rapidly and has been employed to offer numerous benefits in the critical healthcare field. AI has revolutionized the healthcare system into an automated format across multiple fields. Consequently, in certain programs, humans are now only necessary for tasks, while AI manages everything else.

II. Literature Review

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the healthcare industry is changing both clinical and administrative practices, resulting in significant enhancements in efficiency and patient care. This shift is fueled by the growing availability of medical data and the swift development of big data analytics tools.

Rehman and Panday (2021) provide a comprehensive overview of AI applications in healthcare, highlighting its impact on both clinical and administrative processes. Their study emphasizes how AI can improve diagnostic efficiency, assist in treatment planning, and streamline operational workflows. The authors note that AI technologies help reduce human error and optimize resource allocation, allowing healthcare professionals to focus on complex decision-making tasks.

Davenport and Kalakota (2019) explore the potential of AI to transform healthcare delivery, particularly in decision support and personalized care. They argue that AI-driven tools can enhance patient engagement, provide evidence-based treatment suggestions, and facilitate early detection of diseases. The study underscores that while AI augments clinical capabilities, it is not intended to replace healthcare professionals but rather to support them in making more informed decisions.

Ali et al. (2023) conduct a systematic literature review on AI in healthcare, focusing on benefits, methodologies, and functionalities. Their work highlights AI's role in predictive analytics, showing that machine learning models can identify high-risk patients from large-scale electronic health records (EHRs). These predictive capabilities improve preventative care, help allocate medical resources efficiently, and support evidence-based decision-making in complex clinical scenarios.

Secinaro et al. (2021) present a structured literature review emphasizing AI's role in diagnostic support and clinical decision-making. They provide evidence that AI algorithms, particularly in imaging and pattern recognition, can enhance diagnostic accuracy and consistency. The study highlights AI's potential in early disease detection and underscores its complementary role alongside human expertise in improving patient outcomes.

Kitsios et al. (2023) focus on recent advances in AI applications within healthcare, emphasizing predictive analytics and decision support tools. Their research demonstrates that AI can refine risk assessment, optimize treatment strategies, and support personalized medicine approaches. The authors note that integrating AI into clinical workflows enhances operational efficiency and improves the accuracy of clinical predictions, reinforcing its value in modern healthcare systems.

Roppelt et al. (2024) examine institutional and organizational factors influencing the adoption of AI in healthcare. They highlight challenges such as interoperability with electronic health records, workforce readiness, regulatory compliance, and ethical considerations. The study emphasizes that successful AI deployment requires careful alignment with healthcare infrastructure and policies, ensuring that AI serves as a support tool rather than a replacement for clinicians.

III. Research Methodology

A. *Objectives of the Study:*

- To analyse recent advancements of AI in healthcare, particularly in areas like diagnostic imaging, predictive analytics, and clinical decision support, spanning from 2019 to 2024.

- To identify key applications and evaluate the impact of AI on enhancing healthcare services, improving patient outcomes.

- To explore the role of human-AI collaboration as the most successful model for integration, and to highlight research gaps.

- To provide insights and recommendations for stakeholders regarding ethical practices, necessary regulatory standardization, and the future trajectory of AI in clinical practice.

B. Research Design:

- Research Approach:
  - A qualitative literature review approach was adopted to comprehensively explore the role and impact of AI in healthcare.

  - Literature review: Relevant studies and articles published between 2019 and 2024 were selected based on their relevance, scope, and contribution to understanding AI's applications in clinical, diagnostic, and administrative contexts.

- Data Collection Methods:
  - Six studies were selected as illustrative examples of recent advancements and key applications of AI in healthcare, published between 2019 and 2024. Selection was based on relevance, scope, and contribution to understanding AI's impact in clinical, diagnostic, and administrative contexts.

  - Data Analysis**:** Key findings from the selected studies were analysed to identify patterns, benefits, and limitations of AI implementations in healthcare.

- Data validation:
  - Findings and interpretations were reviewed for accuracy and clarity.

IV. Result And Analysis

A. Challenges

AI adoption in healthcare faces several key challenges that must be addressed to ensure safe and effective implementation. Data-related challenges arise from inconsistencies, incompleteness, or poor structuring of patient information, which can reduce predictive accuracy and complicate integration across electronic health records, as highlighted by Ali et al. (2023) and Roppelt et al. (2024). Privacy and legal concerns are critical, requiring AI systems to comply with healthcare regulations and protect patient confidentiality, as emphasized by Roppelt et al. (2024). Patient safety remains a central issue, since errors or misclassifications by AI models could have serious consequences; Rehman & Panday (2021) and Secinaro et al. (2021) stress that AI should augment rather than replace clinicians, with rigorous validation and continuous oversight. Ethical and governance challenges also persist, demanding transparent decision-making, accountability, and adherence to ethical standards, as noted by Davenport & Kalakota (2019) and Roppelt et al. (2024). Interoperability and integration issues can limit AI effectiveness when systems are fragmented or incompatible, a point highlighted by Kitsios et al. (2023) and Ali et al. (2023). Finally, human-AI collaboration is crucial, as clinicians must understand and appropriately incorporate AI outputs into decision-making processes to balance automation with human expertise, according to Secinaro et al. (2021) and Davenport & Kalakota (2019). Addressing these challenges is essential to harness AI's full potential while maintaining patient trust, safety, and high-quality healthcare delivery.

B. Summary Of Included Studies

The final set of 6 studies, published between 2019 to 2024, constitutes the core evidence for this review. The included research highlights a diverse range of scope, main findings and key limitations which are summarized in the table below**.**

TABLE I- RECENT ADVANCEMENTS IN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE APPLICATIONS IN HEALTHCARE

Study	Scope / Data	Main findings	Key limitations
Rehman & Panday (2021)	General AI healthcare literature	Summarizes applications across clinical & administrative domains; notes potential for improved diagnostic workflows and resource allocation.	Broad review; not all findings from primary clinical trials.

Davenport & Kalakota (2019)	Conceptual & early studies	Highlights AI potential in decision support, patient engagement, and administrative efficiency.	Conceptual; limited trial evidence.
Ali et al. (2023)	Benefits, methodologies across studies	Emphasizes predictive analytics benefits and methodological heterogeneity across studies.	Heterogeneous methods/datasets limit cross-study synthesis.
Secinaro et al. (2021)	Diagnostic support & decision making	Reports AI models in imaging and pattern recognition showing promising diagnostic assistance in specific tasks.	Dataset and task specificity; varying external validity.
Kitsios et al. (2023)	Recent advances across domains	Notes advances in predictive analytics and decision support; identifies interoperability & regulatory gaps.	Rapidly evolving literature; limited clinical validation in many areas.
Roppelt et al. (2024)	Institutional adoption factors	Focuses on organizational, governance, and infrastructure barriers to institutional AI adoption.	Focused on institutional factors rather than clinical performance metrics.

The synthesized data from the included studies was thematically categorized into key areas, revealing the primary applications and impacts of AI in the healthcare sector.

1. Diagnostic Imaging

The most prominent theme identified in this review is the application of AI in diagnostic imaging. The findings from this research consistently indicate that AI-powered deep learning models can assist or even outperform human clinicians in critical tasks such as tumor detection in radiology scans and the analysis of pathology slides (Rehman & Panday, 2021; Secinaro et al., 2021; Kitsios et al., 2023). The key benefit identified is a notable reduction in diagnostic error rates and a significant acceleration of the review process, which is particularly valuable in time-sensitive clinical settings.

2. Predictive Analytics & Risk Stratification

Another significant theme involves the role of AI in predictive analytics. Studies in this category demonstrate the use of machine learning algorithms to analyze electronic health records (EHRs) and other clinical data to forecast patient outcomes, such as the risk of readmission (Ali et al., 2023; Kitsios et al., 2023).

3. Clinical Decision Support

The included literature highlights AI's growing role in providing real-time decision support to clinicians. This enhances the accuracy of treatment recommendations by identifying potential drug interactions or suggesting optimal therapies for patients with complex medical histories (Secinaro et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2023; Roppelt et al., 2024).

4. Other Applications

The review also identified several other key applications of AI in healthcare, including surgical robotics and administrative automation. Studies in these areas emphasize the potential for AI to enable greater precision in minimally invasive surgery (Kitsios et al., 2023; Roppelt et al., 2024 and to streamline administrative workflows, allowing healthcare professionals to dedicate more time to direct patient care (Davenport & Kalakota, 2019; Rehman & Panday, 2021).

C. AI Lifecycle: Mapping Challenges to Mitigation

The literature review indicates that successfully implementing artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare is highly dependent on a governance structure that effectively addresses specific issues at each stage of the AI lifecycle. Table I illustrates this relationship, demonstrating that these issues are intrinsically connected to the developmental phase.

The journey begins at the Data Foundation stage, where the main risks stem from data-related challenges, especially those concerning the quality, documentation, and biases in electronic health records (EHRs).

Research suggests that tackling these concerns requires meticulous attention, including robust validation protocols and careful data management before initiating any model training.

Moving to the Model Development phase, immediate patient safety concerns arise, due to algorithmic biases and the unclear nature of deep learning processes. To alleviate these risks, it is essential to have ethical oversight in place. This ensures that models are transparent, their reasoning is clear, and their implementation helps to minimize the risk of adverse outcomes and worsening healthcare disparities.

Lastly, during the Deployment and Use phase, issues related to privacy and legal compliance emerge, such as regulatory shortcomings and the need to foster patient trust. The resolution lies in promoting cooperation, emphasizing that human clinicians should maintain ultimate authority and utilize AI as a tool that merges analytical insights with sensitive clinical judgment. The partnership between humans and AI is vital for ensuring safe and compliant practice.

TABLE II. STAGES OF AI IMPLEMENTATION: CHALLENGES AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES

AI Lifecycle Stage	**Core Process/Activity**	**Associated Challenge Category**	**Mitigation Strategy**
1. Data Foundation	Collection, Labeling, and Storage of EHRs/Images.	Data-Related Challenges (Accuracy, Quality, Documentation)	Rigor (Prioritize data quality, rigorous testing, and validation protocols)
2. Model Development	Algorithm Training (Deep Learning, ML, Symbolic AI).	Patient Safety Risks (Algorithmic Bias, Lack of Explainability)	Ethical Oversight (Ensure transparency, and minimize negative outcomes)
3. Deployment & Use	Real-time Decision Support, Automated Action.	Privacy/Legal Challenges (Data Access, Regulatory Vacuum, Patient Trust)	Collaboration (Implement Human-AI Partnership to ensure safety and clinical judgment)

Personalized Medicine: Privacy And Legal Challenges
The application of AI in Personalized Medicine is fundamentally constrained by Privacy and Legal requirements, specifically concerning Genetic Data and Informed Consent. This area uses the most extremely sensitive biological information, such as genomic and transcriptomic data, which is intrinsically unique to an individual and stable over time. The primary theoretical justification is that this sensitivity poses the highest risk of re-identification and catastrophic privacy breaches, making standard anonymization techniques insufficient. Consequently, the ethical and legal frameworks must be meticulously adhered to, prioritizing strict data governance and robust protocols for granular informed consent that acknowledge the unique re-identification risk of genetic data.

Clinical Decision Support: Patient Safety Challenges
For Clinical Decision Support (CDS) systems, the central concern revolves around Patient Safety, specifically related to Explainability and Model Bias in Complex Cases. CDS directly intermediate in clinical workflows, influencing diagnoses and treatment plans. The theoretical danger is the adoption of "black box" models, where

the AI's logic is opaque. If a model's recommendation is flawed due to internal bias or erroneous learning, and the reasoning cannot be audited or challenged by a clinician, it can lead directly to severe patient harm. Therefore, a core theoretical demand for CDS is the development of trustworthy AI that provides transparency and justification for its outputs, ensuring clinical accountability.

Predictive Analytics: Data-Related Challenges
The reliability of Predictive Analytics relies heavily on addressing Data-Related issues, primarily Bias and Data Quality in Electronic Health Records (EHRs). Predictive models learn from historical patterns embedded in EHRs; if that historical data is incomplete, inaccurate, or systematically biased (e.g., reflecting disparities in healthcare access or documentation), the model will not only learn but also propagate that bias into future predictions. This theoretical concept of bias propagation undermines the model's fairness and accuracy across different patient populations, making the quality, representativeness, and meticulous curation of the foundational EHR data the most critical challenge.

Diagnostic Imaging: Data-Related Challenges
In the field of Diagnostic Imaging, the core theoretical challenge is Data-Related, specifically managing the Large Volume and Curation requirements of image datasets. Effective AI for diagnostics, such as identifying anomalies in X-rays or MRIs, demands massive quantities of training data. Crucially, human specialists must expertly and consistently annotate this data. The theoretical justification is simple: if the underlying dataset suffers from poor annotation, inconsistent labeling, or insufficient quality control, the resulting diagnostic output from the AI will be unreliable, leading to significant diagnostic errors. The sheer scale and complexity of ensuring high-quality, standardized labels across vast imaging repositories constitutes the primary hurdle. Human–AI collaboration has been emphasized as critical, ensuring that AI augments rather than replaces clinicians [8].

Surgical Robotics: Patient Safety Challenges
The deployment of AI in Surgical Robotics presents an immediate and acute Patient Safety challenge, focusing on Real-Time Failure Risk. Unlike software-based errors, a malfunction in a robotic system result in an immediate, physical, and catastrophic error. The theoretical framework here is centered on high-reliability engineering, necessitating real-time monitoring, layered fail-safes, and redundancy measures. The consequence of even a momentary system failure is irreversible patient harm, making the robust, instantaneous guarantee of physical reliability the non-negotiable theoretical focus. Successful integration of AI requires careful attention to workflow, governance, and regulatory alignment [9].

Administrative Tasks: Privacy and Legal Challenges
AI used for Administrative Tasks (e.g., billing, scheduling) faces Privacy and Legal challenges centered on Standard Patient Data Security. While dealing with operational data that is less sensitive than genetic information, these systems still process a large volume of standard Protected Health Information (PHI). The theoretical focus is on mitigating general data security breaches and ensuring compliance with standard privacy regulations. The risk is less about the unique re-identification of genetic data and more about the scale and integrity of general operational data security across the healthcare enterprise.

TABLE III. THE INTERPLAY OF AI APPLICATION AND RISK IN HEALTHCARE

Application Area	**Primary Challenge Focus**	**Justification (Synthesis of Literature)**
Personalized Medicine	Privacy/Legal (Genetic Data, Informed Consent)	Requires use of extremely sensitive genetic and genomic data, posing the highest risk of re-identification and privacy breaches.
Clinical Decision Support	Patient Safety (Explainability/Model Bias in Complex Cases)	Direct influence on treatment decisions; errors due to "black box" logic or bias can lead to severe patient harm.

Predictive Analytics	Data-Related (Bias/Data Quality in EHRs)	Predictions are highly dependent on the quality and representativeness of historical EHR data, susceptible to bias propagation.
Diagnostic Imaging	Data-Related (Large Volume/Curation)	Requires massive, curated datasets; poor annotation or data quality leads to unreliable diagnostic output.
Surgical Robotics	Patient Safety (Real-Time Failure Risk)	Errors are immediate and physical; require real-time monitoring and fail-safes.
Administrative Tasks	Privacy/Legal (Standard Patient Data Security)	Deals with less sensitive operational data (billing, scheduling); risks are primarily related to general data security breaches.

Key Findings and Gaps

Areas of Strong Evidence: The most robust evidence for AI's effectiveness in healthcare is found in applications related to diagnostic imaging (radiology and pathology). Studies in these areas consistently demonstrate AI's ability to improve accuracy and efficiency.

Areas of Weak Evidence and Emerging Gaps: There is a notable lack of evidence regarding AI's application in mental health and the interoperability of AI systems within existing healthcare IT infrastructure. These represent significant research gaps that require further exploration.

Dominant Trend: A clear and positive trend across the field is the shift from purely lab-based studies and theoretical models towards more rigorous clinical trials and real-world implementation, signaling a maturation of the field.

V. Conclusion

This literature review highlights that the most robust evidence for AI in healthcare currently lies in diagnostic imaging and predictive analytics, where quantifiable performance gains are well documented. However, these benefits are concentrated in a narrow set of clinical domains. Major gaps include the application of AI in mental health, the interoperability of AI systems with existing EHR infrastructures, and the lack of regulatory and ethical alignment needed for large-scale deployment. Current evidence is largely based on small-scale studies and pilot implementations, underscoring the need for longitudinal clinical trials and multi-site validations. AI is not a replacement for healthcare professionals but a powerful augmentation tool that enhances precision, accelerates workflows, and supports decision-making. Realizing its full potential requires standardized governance, rigorous data quality protocols, transparent model explainability, and human–AI collaboration.

Future work should focus on expanding AI research beyond imaging to mental health and complex multimorbidity. Strengthening data infrastructure and interoperability standards. Embedding ethical oversight throughout the AI lifecycle. Evaluating real-world impacts through regulatory-compliant clinical trials. This review underscores that AI’s clinical promise lies not in replacing clinicians but in strengthening their decision-making, provided that its deployment is guided by rigorous methodological standards, ethical governance, and robust interoperability frameworks.

VI. Future Implications

AI has shown potential to improve diagnostic and predictive capabilities, particularly in imaging, but current evidence is limited to pilot studies and controlled trials. Clinical implementation requires rigorous validation, standardized governance, and human–AI collaboration. Given the swift progression in AI for image analysis, it is likely that machines will manage most evaluations of radiology and pathology images. At present, technologies for speech and text recognition are utilized for activities like patient communication and clinical notetaking, and their application is anticipated to expand over time.

For widespread adoption to occur, AI systems need to gain regulatory approval, be integrated into Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems, be standardized for uniformity across similar products, require training for clinicians, receive funding from public or private payers, and undergo regular updates in practice. Although these challenges will be resolved, this process may take longer than the development of the technologies themselves. Thus, we expect to see limited use of AI in clinical practice within the next five years, with broader applications emerging in the subsequent ten years.

It is evident that AI systems will not largely replace human clinicians but will instead assist them in providing patient care. Over time, human healthcare professionals may shift into roles that utilize distinctly human traits such as empathy, influence, and a comprehensive understanding. The only healthcare workers likely to face job displacement in the future are those unwilling to embrace collaboration with artificial intelligence.

REFERENCES

[1] M. U. Rehman and A. Panday, "Review on Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare," Journal of Innovations, vol. 66, pp. 915–926, 2021.

[2] T. Davenport and R. Kalakota, "The Potential for Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare," Future Healthcare Journal, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 94–98, 2019.

[3] O. Ali, W. Abdelbaki, A. Shrestha, E. Elbasi, M. A. A. Alryalat, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "A Systematic Literature Review of Artificial Intelligence in the Healthcare Sector: Benefits, Challenges, Methodologies, and Functionalities," Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 100333, 2023.

[4] S. Secinaro, D. Calandra, A. Secinaro, V. Muthurangu, and P. Biancone, "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: A Structured Literature Review," BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, vol. 21, p. 125, 2021.

[5] F. Kitsios, M. Kamariotou, A. I. Syngelakis, and M. A. Talias, "Recent Advances of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: A Systematic Literature Review," International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 20, no. 3, p. 1862, 2023.

[6] J. S. Roppelt, D. K. Kanbach, and S. Kraus, "Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review on Influencing Factors," Technovation, vol. 130, p. 102788, 2024.

[7] A. M. Kaplan and M. Haenlein, "Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence," Business Horizons, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 15–25, 2020.

[8] E. J. Topol, "High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence," Nature Medicine, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 44–56, 2019.

[9] C. J. Kelly, A. Karthikesalingam, M. Suleyman, G. Corrado, and D. King, "Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence," BMC Medicine, vol. 17, p. 195, 2019.

[10] J. Irvin et al., "CheXpert: A Large Chest Radiograph Dataset with Uncertainty Labels and Expert Comparison," in Proc. 33rd AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell., Honolulu, HI, USA, 2019, pp. 590–597.

[11] A. Rajkomar et al., "Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records," npj Digital Medicine, vol. 1, p. 18, 2018.

[12] E. Tiu et al., "Expert-level detection of pathologies from unannotated chest X-rays via self-supervised learning," Nature Biomedical Engineering, vol. 6, pp. 1206–1217, 2022.

[13] A. L. Beam and I. S. Kohane, "Big Data and Machine Learning in Health Care," JAMA, vol. 319, no. 13, pp. 1317–1318, 2018.

[14] M. Ghassemi, L. Oakden-Rayner, and A. L. Beam, "The false hope of current approaches to explainable artificial intelligence in health care," Lancet Digital Health, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. e745–e750, 2021.

[15] Z. Obermeyer, B. Powers, C. Vogeli, and S. Mullainathan, "Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations," Science, vol. 366, no. 6464, pp. 447–453, 2019.

[16] J. de Fauw et al., "Clinically applicable deep learning for diagnosis and referral in retinal disease," Nature Medicine, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 1342–1350, 2018.

[17] M. D. Abràmoff et al., "Pivotal trial of an autonomous AI-based diagnostic system for detection of diabetic retinopathy in primary care offices," npj Digital Medicine, vol. 1, p. 39, 2018.

[18] A. Y. Hannun et al., "Cardiologist-level arrhythmia detection and classification in ambulatory electrocardiograms using a deep neural network," Nature Medicine, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 65–69, 2019.